

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/856,815	09/07/2001	Heiner Bayha	VMP-491-A	3470	
7590 12/15/2003		EXAMINER			
Andrew R Basile			YAM, STEPHEN K		
Young & Basile 3001 West Big Beaver Road Suite 624			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Troy, MI 48084			2878		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Sephen Yam Stephen Yam 2878		Applicatio	n N .	Applicant(s)					
## Stammer Stephen Yam 2878 ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address ## Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ## SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ## Profession of reply specified size of this communication. 18(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed and the state that the member of the state of the state of the communication. 18(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed on part of the state									
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. Enterstance of time rany he available under the servoirs at 3 °CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 50 °C, MONTH5 from the maining date of this communication. If the period for reply secreted above is less time thing (30) days, a reply which the state days in the period for reply secreted above is less time thing (30) days, a reply which the state days which the state of the secrete 50 °C, MONTH5 from the maining date of this communication. If the period for reply secreted above is less time thing (30) days, a reply which the state on the secrete 50 °CFR 1.738(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 50 °CFR 1.738(a). The secrete 50 °CFR 1.738(a) is not reply the state of the secrete 50 °CFR 1.738(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled the secrete 50 °CFR 1.738(a). The secrete 50 °CFR 1.738(a) is not reply the secrete 50 °CFR 1.738(a). The secrete 50 °CFR 1.738(a) is not reply filled the secrete 50 °CFR 1.738(a). Any reply reviewed by the Office later than these morths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any Status. I)[2] Responsive to communication(s) filled on 25 August 2003. 2a)[3] This action is FINAL. 2b] This action is non-final. 3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under £x pare Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4] Claim(s) 1-2 and 11-18 is/are pending in the application. 4] Of the above claim(s) 1-3 safe relieved. 5] Claim(s) 1-3 and 11-18 is/are rejected. 7] Claim(s) 1-3 and 11-18 is/are rejected. 9] The drawing(s) filled on 15/are objected to 0. 8] Claim(s) 1-3 and 11-18 is/are objected to 0. 9] The drawing(s) filled on 15/are objected to 0. 10] The drawing(s) filled on 15/are objected to 0. 10] The drawing(Office Action Summary								
The MAILNO DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Feterosics of them mybe available under the provision of 31 CPR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a raply be limitely filled Fit the period for raply appelled above is less time thirty (30) days, a negly within the situatory printing that (5) (n) MONTHS have the mailing date of this communication for raply appelled above, the meaning adable of this communication (5) (n) MONTHS have the mailing date of this communication (6) (n) with the mailing date of this communication (7) and pay and with grape 13 (k) (n) MONTHS have the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any sames plane them adjustment, 69 ar 30 CPR 17-104(b). Status Status Status Status Status Signed this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 □ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are pending in the application. 4 □ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are pending in the application. 4 □ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are rejected. 5 □ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are rejected. 5 □ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are rejected to. 8 □ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are rejected to. 8 □ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are rejected to. 9 □ The appelication is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 □ The appelication is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 □ The drawing(s) filed on 1-18 is/are application is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 □ The coath or de									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 1,155(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled enter SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum stability operiod will apply and will apply set of the property of the p	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	_1_ `							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be activable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In one event, however, may a reply be timely filled after Str. (b) MOMITS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO services of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In one event, however, may a reply be timely filled after Str. (b) MOMITS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO services of the provision of the prov									
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The grawing(s) filed on is/are: a ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * o ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e) 50 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * C) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	_							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority undernst have been received in Application form. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) N	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is no	n-final.						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	Disposition of Claims								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are pending in the application.								
6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some Ol None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
8									
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in in Application No 3. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			Tablected to by the F	Evaminor					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)									
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 								
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)								
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	·	5) Notice of Informal P						

Application/Control Number: 09/856,815

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Amendments and remarks filed on August 25, 2003. Claims 1-9 and 11-18 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-6, 8, 9, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. Nakamura et al. US Patent No. 4,636,643 in view of Teder US Patent No. 6,262,407.

Nakamura et al. teach a device for detecting particles on a windshield (2) of a motor vehicle with a radiation source (1) (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 2) which emits optical rays onto the windshield, a photodetector (5 or 9) which receives a portion of the rays emitted onto the windshield, and a single control unit (7, 10) containing a first controller (7) and a second controller (10) which manages the radiation source and analyzes the rays received by the photodetector, such that the radiation source is positioned outside the field of vision of a driver of the vehicle (see Fig. 1) and aligned in such a way that the light rays from the radiation source strike the windshield in the area of the field of vision, and that the photodetector is pointed at the area of the windshield which the optical rays from the radiation source strike (see Fig. 1). Regarding Claim 2, Nakamura et al. teach the radiation source formed as a light emitting diode (see Col. 3, line 30). Regarding Claims 3 and 4, Nakamura et al. teach the photodetector

including several receiving units (5) and formed as optoelectronic arrays (see Col. 3, lines 63-65). Regarding Claims 5 and 6, Nakamura et al. teach a lens (see Col. 3, line 34-37) located in the direction of propagation of the beams reflected from the particles in front of the receiving units for focusing the beams. Regarding Claim 8, Nakamura et al. teach the radiation source emitting optical rays with a wavelength in the infrared range (see Col 3, lines 27-33). Regarding Claim 9, Nakamura et al. teach the control unit (7 and 10) managing the radiation source (see Col. 3, lines 59-61) in such a way that the type of particles can be determined from the rays received by the photodetector. Nakamura does not teach the single control unit selectively controlling at least one of an intensity, duration, and frequency of a first ray emitted by the radiation source and analyzing a portion of the first ray received by the photodetector based, at least in part, on the one of the previously controlled intensity, duration, and frequency of the first ray. Regarding Claim 17, Nakamura et al. do not teach the light emitting diode operable to emit optical rays having different intensities. Teder teaches (see Figs. 1 and 2) a device for detecting particles on a windshield of a vehicle with a radiation source (40/52) which emits optical rays onto the windshield (20) (see Col. 4, lines 9-10) with a photodetector (44/54) which receives a portion of the rays (42) emitted onto the windshield, and with a single control unit (58) for managing the radiation source and analyzing the rays received from the photodetector, wherein the single control unit selectively controls (see Col. 6, lines 10-20) at least one of an intensity, duration, and frequency of a first ray emitted by the radiation source and analyzes (see Col. 5, lines 22-35) a portion of the first ray received by the photodetector based, at least in part, on the one of the previously controlled intensity, duration, and frequency of the first ray, and wherein the radiation source is operable to emit optical rays having different intensities (see Col. 6, lines

10-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to control the intensity, duration, or frequency of a ray from the radiation source and analyze a portion of the ray based on the intensity, duration, and frequency control as taught by Teder in the device of Nakamura et al., to improve particle detection for windshields having different transmittances and compensate for changes in electronic components, as taught by Teder (see Col. 3, lines 4-7).

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. in view of Teder as applied to Claim 1, further in view of Koyama et al. US Patent No. 6,285,037.

Regarding Claim 7, Nakamura et al. in view of Teder teach the device in Claim 1, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Nakamura et al. do not teach the radiation source emitting optical rays with a wavelength of 350-800nm. Koyama et al. teach (see Fig. 1 and 2) a device (1) for detecting particles on a windshield (G) of a motor vehicle with a radiation source (4) which emits optical rays onto the windshield (see Fig. 2) and a photodetector (5) which receives a portion of the rays emitted onto the windshield, wherein the radiation source emits optical rays having a wavelength of 700nm to 780nm (see Col. 1, lines 63-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to emit optical rays having wavelength of about 350nm to 800nm as taught by Koyama et al. in the device of Nakamura et al. in view of Teder, to improve detection for windshields with high infrared absorbances, as taught by Koyama et al. (see Col. 2, lines 33-40).

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. in view of Teder as applied to Claim 1, further in view of Breed et al. US Patent No. 5,845,800.

Regarding Claim 11, Nakamura et al. in view of Teder teach the device in Claim 1, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Nakamura et al. does not teach the device as an integral part of an interior light module in the vehicle. Breed et al. teach an optical sensor device for a vehicle with a field of view of the windshield (see Fig. 1D) of a vehicle wherein the sensor is mounted by an interior light module in the vehicle. It is well known in the art to integrate two adjacent components into a single larger housing, to provide simple attachment and greater protection. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the device of Breed et al. by an interior light module in the vehicle as taught by Breed et al. and integrate it with the interior light module in the device of Nakamura et al. in view of Teder, to provide the device with direct visual access to the windshield in the area of the field of vision and out of the field of vision of a driver of the vehicle and to improve the durability and assembly ease of the device.

5. Claims 12, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. in view of Teder as applied to Claims 1 and 2, further in view of Stam et al. US Patent No. 5,923,027.

Regarding Claim 12, Nakamura et al. in view of Teder teach the device in Claim 1, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Nakamura et al. do not teach the device as an integral part of a rearview mirror module in the vehicle. Stam et al. teach a device for detecting particles on a windshield of a motor vehicle with a radiation source (66) (see Fig. 2) which emits

optical rays onto the windshield (see Fig. 3), a photodetector (32) which receives a portion of the rays emitted onto the windshield, and a control unit (see Col. 6, lines 6-15 and Col. 10, lines 32-34) which manages the radiation source and analyzes the rays received by the photodetector, wherein the radiation source is positioned outside the field of vision of a driver of the vehicle (see Fig. 3) and aligned in such a way that the light rays from the radiation source strike the windshield in the area of the field of vision, and that the photodetector is pointed at the area of the windshield which the optical rays from the radiation source strike (see Fig. 1 and 3), wherein the device as an integral part of a rearview mirror module in the vehicle (see Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the device of Nakamura et al. in view of Teder with a rearview mirror module in the vehicle as taught by Stam et al., to enable visual access to the windshield without interfering with the visual accuity of the driver.

Regarding Claim 14, Nakamura et al. in view of Teder teach the device in Claim 1, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Nakamura et al. do not teach the control unit associated with a windshield cleaning system such that the windshield cleaning system is activated when the control unit detects dirt on the windshield. Stam et al. teach a device for detecting particles on a windshield of a motor vehicle with a radiation source (66) (see Fig. 2) which emits optical rays onto the windshield (see Fig. 3), a photodetector (32) which receives a portion of the rays emitted onto the windshield, and a control unit (see Col. 6, lines 6-15 and Col. 10, lines 32-34) which manages the radiation source and analyzes the rays received by the photodetector, wherein the radiation source is positioned outside the field of vision of a driver of the vehicle (see Fig. 3) and aligned in such a way that the light rays from the radiation source

strike the windshield in the area of the field of vision, and that the photodetector is pointed at the area of the windshield which the optical rays from the radiation source strike (see Fig. 1 and 3), wherein the control unit is operably associated (see Fig. 6) with a windshield cleaning system (40) of the vehicle such that the windshield cleaning system is activated when the control unit detects dirt on the windshield (see Col. 3, lines 53-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the device with a windshield cleaning system as taught by Stam et al. in the device of Nakamura et al. in view of Teder, to provide automatic windshield cleaning upon necessity without driver intervention.

Regarding Claim 16, Nakamura et al. in view of Teder teach the device in Claim 2, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Nakamura et al. do not teach the light emitting diode as emitting optical rays having different wavelengths. Stam et al. teach a device for detecting particles on a windshield of a motor vehicle with a radiation source (66) (see Fig. 2) which emits optical rays onto the windshield (see Fig. 3), a photodetector (32) which receives a portion of the rays emitted onto the windshield, and a control unit (see Col. 6, lines 6-15 and Col. 10, lines 32-34) which manages the radiation source and analyzes the rays received by the photodetector, wherein the radiation source is positioned outside the field of vision of a driver of the vehicle (see Fig. 3) and aligned in such a way that the light rays from the radiation source strike the windshield in the area of the field of vision, and that the photodetector is pointed at the area of the windshield which the optical rays from the radiation source strike (see Fig. 1 and 3), wherein the radiation source is a visible LED (see Col. 10, lines 59-60), which inherently possesses a plurality of wavelengths within a visible spectrum range. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the light-

emitting diode to emit different wavelengths as taught by Stam et al. in the device of Nakamura et al. in view of Teder, to provide improved detection according to the specific absorption characteristics of the windshield as taught by Stam et al. (see Col. 10, lines 22-24).

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. in view of Teder as applied to Claim 1, further in view of Hegyi US Patent No. 5,703,568.

Nakamura et al. in view of Teder teach the device in Claim 1, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Nakamura et al. do not teach the device connected over a bidirectional data bus to a superordinate control unit in the vehicle. Hegyi teaches an image sensor for detecting particles on a windshield connected to a superordinate control unit (46) (see Fig. 3). Although Hegyi does not mention the connection of the device to the superordinate control unit over a bi-directional data bus, it is inherent that a data bus is used to convey data between the device and the superordinate control unit, and the superordinate control unit both sends and receives data bi-directionally to the device to obtain sensor data from the device and to control the device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the superordinate control unit over a bi-directional data bus of Hegyi with the device of Nakamura et al. in view of Teder, to provide feedback for other vehicle functions and enable the activation and deactivation of the device.

7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. in view of Teder as applied to Claim 1, further in view of Schofield et al. WO 99/23828.

Nakamura et al. in view of Teder teach the device as taught in Claim 1, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Nakamura et al. do not teach the photodetector as a CCD array. Schofield et al. teach (see Fig. 2b and 6) a device for detecting particles on a windshield (19) comprising a light source (38) and a photodetector (36) receiving a portion of the rays emitted onto the windshield, wherein the photodetector is a CCD array (see Page 5, lines 29-32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a CCD image converter as the photodetector as taught by Schofield in the device of Nakamura et al. in view of Teder, to provide imaging means for more accurate detection of the existence of particles on the windshield.

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. in view of Teder as applied to Claim 2, further in view of Breed et al.

Nakamura et al. teach the device as taught in Claim 2, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Nakamura et al. do not teach the light emitting diode positioned such that the optical rays strike the windshield at a similar angle with respect to a driver's line of sight. Breed et al. teach (see Fig. 1D) a device where a light emitting diode (113) (see Col. 5, lines 51-52 and Col. 13, lines 3-4) is positioned such that the optical rays strike the windshield at a similar angle with respect to a driver's line of sight (see Fig. 1D), mounted by the interior light module of the vehicle (see Col. 13, lines 10-12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position the light emitting diode so the optical rays strike the windshield at a similar angle with respect to a driver's line of sight as taught by Breed et al. in

the device of Nakamura et al. in view of Teder, to prevent the light from interfering with the driver's view on the windshield and hampering his/her driving abilities.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 11-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen. Yam whose telephone number is (703)306-3441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/856,815 Page 11

Art Unit: 2878

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (703)308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

> \(\chi

SY

THANH X. LUU

PATENT EXAMINER